1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE DENNIS MONTALI					
2		TES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE				
3	APPEARANCES (All present by For the Reorganized	=				
4	Debtors:	Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP 650 California Street				
5		Suite 1900 San Francisco,, CA 94108				
6		(415) 636-9015				
7	For David P. Addington:	ARON M. OLINER, ESQ. Duane Morris LLP				
8		1 Market Spear Tower #2200				
9		San Francisco, CA 94105 (415)957-3000				
10	For Fire Victim Trust:	SUSAN SIEGER-GRIMM, ESQ.				
11	FOI FILE VICCIM ITUSC:	Brown Rudnick LLP Seven Times Square				
12		New York, NY 10036 (212)209-4800				
13	Dan Olaimanta Dania					
14	For Claimants Darla O'Connor, et al.:	CRAIG A. BURNETT, ESQ. Law Office of Craig A. Burnett 3558 Round Barn Boulevard				
15		Suite 200 Santa Rosa, CA 95403				
16		(707) 523–3328				
17	For Claimants Davin and Debbie Abrahamian:	CASEY A. GEE, ESQ. TLO LAW, P.C.				
18	bessie heranamian .	700 Airport Boulevard Suite 160				
19		Burlingame, CA 94010 (650)513-6111				
20	Also Drogont.					
21	Also Present:	David P. Addington Individual Claimant				
22						
23						
24						
25						

			3
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17	Court Recorder:	LORENA PARADA/ANKEY THOMAS	
18	court Recorder.	United States Bankruptcy Court 450 Golden Gate Avenue	
19		San Francisco, CA 94102	
20	Transcriber:	CATHY L. KLEINBART	
21	Transcriber.	eScribers, LLC 7227 N. 16th Street	
22		Suite #207 Phoenix, AZ 85020	
23		(973) 406-2250	
24	Proceedings recorded by ele transcript provided by tran		
25)	
		e cribers	

	PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company
1	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, DECEMBER 13, 2022, 10:00 AM
2	-000-
3	(Call to order of the Court.)
4	THE CLERK: The Court is now in session, the Honorable
5	Dennis Montali presiding. Calling the matter of PG&E
6	Corporation, and I'll bring in counsel now.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Oliner or Mr. Rupp, please
8	state your appearance.
9	MR. OLINER: Good morning, Your Honor. Aron Oliner,
10	Duane Morris, counsel to Mr. David Addington, who I had
11	expected to be in on this okay. I got the nod from Ms.
12	Parada.
13	THE CLERK: Would you like me to bring him in, Your
14	Honor?
15	THE COURT: Well, he's not going to participate, is
16	he, Mr. Oliner?
17	MR. OLINER: I don't know that he's going to
18	participate, but
19	THE COURT: Okay. He can raise his hand if for some
20	reason he needs to come in.
21	Mr. Rupp, want to state your appearance?
22	MR. RUPP: Good morning, Your Honor. Thomas Rupp of
23	Keller Benvenutti Kim on behalf of the reorganized debtors.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Oliner, you requested to stay
25	on calendar since we have a motion pending next menth. What's

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company your pleasure?

MR. OLINER: Thank you very much. And thank you also to Mr. Rupp for the opportunity today. This is a status conference that had been two or three times put over, maybe once requested by me, once by Mr. Rupp. My memory is a little hazy, and I happened to finally catch COVID last week. I wanted to put a few things on the record today, Your Honor, recognizing there's a pending motion.

One, since the last status conference, the last time we appeared before Your Honor and indicated to the Court that we would be voluntarily exchanging documents before formal discovery, that has happened. It's largely in progress. Mr. Rupp on behalf of PG&E has provided to Mr. Addington, I don't know, maybe, 700 documents, and it's been represented to us that that is the sum total of PG&E's documents as pertains to the dispute and Mr. Addington's property.

Mr. Rupp, perhaps unsurprisingly, filed a motion for summary judgment, I believe last week. I haven't even read it. And Mr. Rupp conveyed to me -- and I wanted to put it on the record -- PG&E's willingness to extend briefing on that motion, which is relevant for the following reason: Your Honor, I am going to be leaving this case. Mr. Addington is going to take over on his own behalf, and so in the interim, I just wanted to get on the record that what has transpired to date and to confirm what we already had in writing, which is PG&E's

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company willingness to put over hearing on its motion for summary judgment.

I believe, and were Mr. Addington to be added to this call, he would say it, that based on the documents that were produced by PG&E and new evidence, it is his intention to amend his claim, but going forward, I will be out. And while I haven't filed anything in the case, I would ask Mr. Rupp to serve papers and to deal exclusively with Mr. Addington in prose after today.

THE COURT: Well, are you going to be filing a substitution? I mean, you have to move to withdraw if Mr. Addington and you sign a substitution and --

MR. OLINER: We'll -- sorry. I interrupted you.

THE COURT: Right?

MR. OLINER: Yeah. We'll file if necess -- I've only appeared once in the case. I don't -- I may have sound a status conference or something. I will file a substitution. This is being done cooperatively. There's no motion that's going to be required.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. OLINER: Mr. Addington is taking over of his own choice.

THE COURT: I don't mean to exclude Mr. Addington, but there's no reason for him to speak. You're going to replace -- I mean, he will replace you as he's entitled to, and there's a

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company motion on file, and his responsibility is to oppose that motion timely or to negotiation with Mr. Rupp to extend the time, and unless there's something I'm missing, there's no action item today.

But Mr. Rupp, what's your response? Anything? Do you have an opinion?

MR. RUPP: Yes, Your Honor. I just wanted to speak to that. I did speak with Mr. Oliner, and PG&E would be open to putting over the motion within reason. It has been a long road we've traveled in this case with Mr. Addington's first claim and then with his second claim. And also, as to the informal discovery that the (indiscernible) exchange that was pursuant to a specific email agreement regarding what was to be produced by the parties, and that's the record between Mr. Oliner and myself. And which I would also add, there's still outstanding productions on Mr. Addington's part that are owed to PG&E.

And again, this is the first I'm hearing of now an amended claim, and we have our motion for summary judgment on file, and it's not at all clear to me what Mr. Addington could amend his claim to now such that it would materially change what our arguments are in our motion for summary judgment.

THE COURT: Do you question -- I mean, you don't doubt that he has a right to amend his claim, doesn't he? Do you agree?

MR. RUPP: Your Honor, I doubted his right to amend

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company his claim back on May 10th when we had the hearing on the first claim since we had litigated it all to the end and got an order in our favor. The Court allowed him to amend his claim. So I believe at some point, he's had many bites at the apple such that he needs to pitch his claim and stand on it.

THE COURT: Well, let me say this, this seems to me that given the way this case has played out -- it's gone on and on and on, and there have been hearings, and I'm sorry that Mr. Oliner is not going to be around to help Mr. Addington, but that's none of my business. It seems to me that if Mr. Addington wishes to amend his claim, he needs to either file that amended claim and get your consent that it can be considered, or he needs to file a motion to add or to amend his claim. And generally, there's a liberal idea and a liberal attitude or a little willingness to let people amend claims, but this has already been teed up and dealt with, and specifically dealt with with the hearing and the prior ruling.

And so it seems to me, Mr. Addington, I'll just say this to you, you need to get Mr. Rupp's consent to your filing an amended claim, or you need to file a motion to amend the claim and get on with that. And so I'm just going to leave the matter on the calendar for the late January date and leave it at that, and if there's a stipulation to amend the claim or a stipulation to defer the hearing on the summary judgment, I certainly won't stand in the way.

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

So as far as I'm concerned, that's the end of it, and

your comment about that you've -- Mr. Rupp, you indicated

yesterday the difficulties with Mr. Addington at his home with

the police department. There's not an action item for me to do

anything. So I'm not going to act on that unless somebody asks

MR. RUPP: Yes, Your Honor. There's no action item for you today. I have only just become aware of it this last week and have not completed an investigation, but it is cause for concern with my client, and since it does relate to the prior order entered by this Court, we may be seeking relief here in the future.

THE COURT: Okay.

me to.

MR. RUPP: But again, nothing to do today.

THE COURT: Mr. Addington, I'm again -- it's awkward.

Mr. Oliner is your counsel of record, and he should speak for
you, but given his representation, which I assume is consistent
with your desire, I will not be discourteous, and if there's
something that you want to say that is pertinent to what we've
discussed, raise your hand, and I'll bring you in.

Well, I don't see his hand up. Ms. Parada, do you?

THE CLERK: Yes. He did raise his hand, and he's
joining now, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I see it. All right.

Good morning, Mr. Addington. Just turn on your mic and state

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company your appearance, and again respond to my question if you wish.

MR. ADDINGTON: David Addington, and I am a claimant in the bankruptcy.

THE COURT: I know that, Mr. Addington. Just tell me what you want to tell me about the matters that I just discussed with these two counsel.

MR. ADDINGTON: Judge, I only raised my hand because you asked me to. I am here. I'm going to file an amended claim, and that's -- and Mr. Oliner is -- I'm replacing Mr. Oliner.

THE COURT: Okay. But send that amended claim to Mr. Rupp and ask if he consents to it being filed. Again, generally, the parties are allowed to amend claims, but for this case and the way it's played out, if he agrees that you can amend it, then there's no issue. If he disagrees and thinks you shouldn't be permitted to amend it, you need to file a motion to amend it. And I'm just going to leave it at that.

MR. ADDINGTON: Yes, Your Honor. I understand.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Oliner, I hope you feel well. I'm sorry about your illness. I hope you recover, and I guess I won't be seeing you in this matter again. So, so be it. I will conclude this matter, and we have other PG&E matters on the docket which we'll take up next.

MR. OLINER: Thank you kindly, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company 1 MR. RUPP: Thank you, Your Honor. Happy holidays. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. Same to you. Same to you, Mr. 3 Addington. 4 MR. ADDINGTON: Thank you, sir. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Gee and Mr. Burnett, would you 6 state your appearances, please? 7 MS. GEE: Good morning, Your Honor. Casey Gee for 8 claimants Davin and Debbie Abrahamian. 9 THE COURT: Mr. --10 MR. BURNETT: Good morning, Your Honor. Craig Burnett 11 for the moving party, for the movants or the claimants, which 12 number nineteen. 13 THE COURT: Nineteen of them, okay. 14 And Ms. Sieger-Grimm, do you want to state your 15 appearance, please? 16 MS. SIEGER-GRIMM: Good morning, Your Honor. 17 Susan Sieger-Grimm from Brown Rudnick, and I represent the 18 trustee. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Burnett and Ms. Gee, have you agreed 20 to who's going to go first, and you have my instructions about 21 timing. Who's going to go first? 22 MS. GEE: Mr. Burnett can go first, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Burnett? 24 MR. BURNETT: Thank you. I guess the crux of the

motion really boils down to excusable neglect and lack of

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company prejudice. Excusable neglect by the movants and any lack of prejudice to the debtor. Movants submit that there really is no prejudice to the debtor and that the relevant inquiry is prejudice to the debtor, not necessarily prejudice to the trust which represents --

THE COURT: Well, I mean, I think the argument about prejudice to the debtor is kind of red herring. There's no, even your own position, your own papers seem to acknowledge that there is an impact on the trust. If I let your clients in, that has a likely dilution, albeit slight, but a dilution of all the other timely claims. You don't deny that, do you?

MR. BURNETT: Not at all, Your Honor, but we do submit that the dilution is miniscule at best. We're looking at what I believe is about a five-billion-dollar amount in the trust.

THE COURT: I understand. But is miniscule a standard? And let's try a different question, Mr. Burnett, what if the trustee had opposed every single late claim, and every single late claim had been kicked out, would you even have a -- what would your argument be today? I mean, your argument seems to be a bunch of other people got in late. So let us in late. Why is that a rule that disputes -- is appropriate?

MR. BURNETT: Well, there was this sort of artificial deadline imposed by the trust, and it seems to me that my clients having no less valid claims getting kicked out versus

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company some other late filed claims got in just because of that deadline.

THE COURT: That, in fact, the deadline -- remember, what you call a deadline is a decision the trustee made to stop with letting late claims in. In other words, it was an convenience. I'll grant you that it had the effect of acting like a deadline, but there was a deadline two and half years ago for the fire victims, late in 2019. And the trust chose to allow a good number. I won't -- don't deny that, but allow a number of them in. It wasn't as though the trustee makes the rules. The trustee said, I'm going to let these ones in, but at some point, I'm not going to waive the time limits any further. I mean, that -- so that's not quite the same as sort of the trustee taking it upon herself to make up rules that are court rules.

So what do I do about that? In other words, I mean, where do we draw the line? If I let you in for that reason, do I -- what about the next wave that were filed tomorrow or the next day? Where do we draw the line?

MR. BURNETT: Well, I think that's for the Court to decide, but there's -- the line is fuzzy right now, and just because the movants have no less valid claims than those who had been let in, I think would prejudice them. Costs exists. They were impacted. Their houses may not have burned down, but they were forced to evacuate. They did suffer damages, and

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company they weren't even aware that they had claims that were actionable.

THE COURT: No. I understand. I understand that that's your position. Okay. Well, go ahead, but I gave you time, and then I proceeded to take up some of your time. So go ahead, and say what you want to say. I didn't mean to cut you off.

MR. BURNETT: Well, given the course broad equitable power here, I think that the -- and you discussed the prejudiced to the debtor, which I believe there's no prejudice at all, the prejudice to the trust, which I believe is slight, and the length of delay. I don't know that that is necessarily the fault of movants because of the lack of notice. The trustee argues that some --

THE COURT: Wait. I think you're confusing lack of notice with lack of awareness.

MR. BURNETT: They were not aware, and they were -THE COURT: Not aware is not the same as not lack of
notice.

MR. BURNETT: Well, the notice that they got was through other claimants was through social media. It wasn't from the trust, itself.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Go ahead.

MR. BURNETT: Well, and the other argument that the trustee makes is the big upsurge, the big filing of these

```
PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company
1
     motions on or around the deadline, and she should have
 2
     anticipated that. I don't think that that alone should be a
 3
     reason to deny the trust -- or the movants' motion.
              THE COURT: But I guess what I --
 4
 5
              MR. BURNETT: I suppose that --
 6
              THE COURT: Back to the point that I made a month
 7
     ago --
 8
              MR. BURNETT: Too late for claimants --
 9
              THE COURT: -- the trustee --
10
              MR. BURNETT: -- have filed claims that the --
11
              THE COURT:
                          The trustee on her own decided that after
12
     September 30th, I'm not going to waive late claims. My
13
     defense. It's essentially, waive a statute of limitations.
14
     And so if I'd said, okay. Well, trustee, that's -- you should
15
     have given them until October 15th. Well, then what do I do
16
     when people file on October 16th? In other words, I -- Mr.
17
     Burnett, you're only representing your nineteen clients, and I
18
     compliment you for doing that. But the dilemma that I have,
19
     and perhaps that the trustee has, is there has to be some
20
     closure, and I, of course, I don't want -- you're going to say,
21
     well, close it next week, not last week. But that's what I'm
22
     struggling with. Okay. Go ahead. Anything further?
23
     Burnett, anything further to make -- to add?
24
              Is he freezing, Ms. Parada?
25
                          I believe so, Your Honor.
              THE CLERK:
```

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 THE COURT: Ms. Gee, can you hear me?

2 MS. GEE: I can hear you. Yes, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Burnett, we'll come back to

4 you.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

5 Ms. Gee, why don't you go ahead and take your ten 6 minutes, and we'll let Mr. Burnett come back if he wants, needs

7 to. 8 Thank you, Your Honor. For our claimant --MS. GEE: 9 for my two claimants, I went into more detail in our reply 10 brief providing more detail as far as the medical reasons why 11

our claimants had a difficult time either knowing about or getting notice of the claim bar dates. As the reply goes into, Mr. Abrahamian had medical issues from before the fire and continues to have medical issues. These have been complicated by the fact that his medical providers in Paradise -- he had three specialists that were taking care of him. Two of them left the area because of the fire. He was left with one remaining specialist who has conducted five medically necessary procedures as well as one -- five medically necessary outpatient procedures as well as at least one surgical procedure. And so between the medical care, the difficulty finding additional providers, the complications due to COVID

with medical treatment, and then also returning to their house and trying to remove all of the soot, ash, debris --

24

As I mentioned, there were over 150 trees, bushes that

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company they had to remove from their property. They did try to save some, which are just now showing signs of dying, which is another reason that they weren't aware of claims that they had before the bar date because they were trying to mitigate some of the damages, keep some of the tress on their property, but unfortunately, it looks like those trees are going to have to be taken away as well.

And with all of those issues in their life and trying to rebuild everything, trying to put a well in their house, get a generator to have power in their home, they were not able to file a claim in time. They did not know about the claim date. They actually thought they had a much longer time to file a claim and only in the last couple of months -- I believe in September -- learned that they could file a claim in --

THE COURT: When did they think they had -- what did they think the deadline was?

MS. GEE: They believed that they had eight years, and I'm not sure where they got the eight years, but that's the -- they thought they had many more years than what the bar date actually gave them.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. GEE: And then, just in terms of equity as understandably the trust allowed certain late claims and wants to cut it off at a certain point, one point I would make is that the North Bay Fire has happened about a year before the

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Camp Fire, and so they had essentially a year less than a lot

of the North Bay claimants that were allowed to make late

claims as late as last year without as much scrutiny. So I

would just like to point that out as well. But unless you have

other questions, I would submit on that.

THE COURT: No. I have no other questions. Thank you.

8 MS. GEE: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Burnett, your screen froze while we -- maybe you had more to say. So we went ahead and heard from Ms. Gee. If you want to add anything further?

MR. BURNETT: No. Thank you. No. I think that I've essentially made the argument. I hope the Court has had a chance to read our reply, although it was only filed over the weekend, and we'll submit it on that basis.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's hear from the trustee. I have a question for the trustee that I didn't have until the last time counsel appeared at the prior hearing which are the matters that are submitted. And that has to do with is whether the recent increase in the stock changes the dynamics in terms of whether there is a lesser likelihood -- or if I should say a greater likelihood of a hundred percent payout?

I realize nobody can predict it, but the fact is it's public record. The stock has gone up. So what does that do to the whole question of the claims here, whether they're timely

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company or late, it's the question?

MS. SIEGER-GRIMM: Well, good morning, Your Honor. First of all, the trust is not like an individual. It's a much more complicated process to dispose of stock especially given the amount. So there's no guarantee that we could even capitalize on the recent increase. In addition --

THE COURT: But there's no -- but I understand that, but there's no reason why that there isn't some consequence. I mean, what if the stock goes up to twenty dollars? I know there was at some point -- at some point, the dynamics changed if the values are preserved, right?

MS. SIEGER-GRIMM: Yes. Let me give you a few statistics that are from the Fire Victim Trust website that the trustee would like to share with you. That might clear things up a bit. As of yesterday, the trust had issued determination notices on account of ninety percent of the claims questionnaires that have been submitted to the trust. The trust has awarded in those determination notices 14.04 billion dollars and has already paid out 5.63 billion dollars.

So given the amount that's in the trust and where we are -- well, it would be a guess as to what would cause the trust to pay everyone, including late claimants, in full, but given the pace of the claims being filed, we're guessing. I mean, but right now, does it look like that there's a big enough gain that we can capitalize on, like I said, it would be

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company a guess with that --

THE COURT: Look, I understand it's a guess, and I'm not naïve to think that tomorrow we're going to read in the paper that the stock (indiscernible) because it could go down, too, but it's a crazy world out there. But my point is at some point, it may be that the timely claims will be paid, and the question is what if there's any even a minimal amount of money left over? My recollection is that the timely claims don't get paid (audio interference) beyond their claim, right? Am I correct in remembering that correct? Do you --

MS. SIEGER-GRIMM: You're breaking up a little bit.

So I just want to make sure, the timely claims -- well, first of all, there are already provisions in some of the settlements that require if everyone is paid, for instance, the FEMA claim gets paid. If all claims are paid in full, then that claim, which was settled pursuant to an agreement that the Court approved, then those -- that claim would get paid.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, that answers the question, then. I didn't remember that. Of course, I was here three years ago nearly, and I presided over all of it, but I didn't go back and refresh my memory.

I mean, the point I'm getting at is this is not a garden-variety bankruptcy that might somehow have a surplus that would allow late claims. I mean, if there were a run=of-the-mill, Chapter 7 case with a hundred cents on the dollar to

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company timely creditors, then the statute provides that late claims get paid next. That's not our situation. Correct me I'm wrong, there's nothing in the plan or the trust that deals with late claims versus after timely claims. The late claims are either in or out, and there's no in between, correct?

MS. SIEGER-GRIMM: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 13357

MS. SIEGER-GRIMM: Just one other point, going to the fact that the debtor being the party, under Section 6.8(b) in paragraph 18(e)(3) of the confirmation order, the trustee stepped in to the debtor's shoes with respect to and became the representative of the debtors with respect to the Fire Victim claim. So the trustee is the debtor essentially with respect to the Fire Victim claim. So to the extent there's any question about who is the party to be concerned about prejudice, it is the trust.

THE COURT: Well, I understand, but you've read the briefs, and I've read the briefs, not just what Mr. Barnett or Ms. Gee filed, but some of the other people that have filed. They make almost the boilerplate argument that there's no prejudice to the debtor because they look at the debtor as the solvent utility that has shareholders who are protected, but the trust is the entity, whether it's the trust through the trustee or the trustee sort of in place of the debtor, the prejudice whether it's miniscule, it's still prejudice, right?

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

And so the timely claims that have been paid forty-five cents

on the dollar, hopefully, they'll be paid a lot more, but every

late claim that comes in is a theoretical dilution of the

recovery for the timely claims, am I right?

MS. SIEGER-GRIMM: It's absolutely right, Your Honor.

A limited fund, and it can only go so far, and after we run out of that money, that's yeah. We're not a debtor that can add more money to it. There's no (indiscernible) to the plan --

THE COURT: So okay. But am I right also that if the trustee had chosen to draw a line in the sand on the claim's bar date, perhaps, I, the Court, would have had to deal with the hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of late claims that I dealt with, but I dealt with them in part because the trustee didn't object, like a waiver of a statute of limitation? But now, your position is that you're invoking that statute, right?

MS. SIEGER-GRIMM: Yes, Your Honor. Honestly, the trustee could cover any claim, and that was the hope, and it seemed that everything was kind of tapering off as one would expect. There were only fifty-five late claims filed in 2020, and then -- 2022 and 2021, and then this year, they kind of picked up and took off in an unexpected way, and it -- in an alarming way. So that's when the first -- the former trustee decided to stop stipulating, and that kind of slowed things for a little bit, and then all of a sudden, they picked back up again when people realized they were being admitted.

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

So in the last week, alone, there have been more late filed claims, (indiscernible) claim covered by those motions than were filed in all of 2020 and 2021 combined. So they're not stopping, they're not slowing, and there is a growing concern among the claimants that are in the trust that they are losing the potential to have their claims paid.

THE COURT: Well, I'm aware of at least one claimant who did file something making that complaint, and you, perhaps, have heard from others. I mean, I'm not going to get into the details of that one, but if that's one person who's saying, wait. While are you letting all these late claims in? It's affecting me. That certainly a legitimate argument that can be made as to any claimant.

I mean, it's not a question of -- and the last thing in the world I want to do -- and I'm sure the trustee doesn't want to do -- is pit fire victims against one another. But the fact is there are -- there's a reality to it, too, that it is what it is, and there's nothing we can do about it, unless we just don't have any rules on this issue.

So what do you say to Mr. Burnett's argument about, well, you know, September 30th was arbitrary, so what do we do with the next batch?

- MS. SIEGER-GRIMM: Well, I mean --
- 24 THE COURT: Same thing.

MS. SIEGER-GRIMM: -- it's arbitrary in the same way

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company you could say the bar date, itself, was arbitrary. The date was decided. With the trustee, she made the determination. She put it in papers. It became widely publicized on social media, which is how a lot of people now filing claims are saying they got any notice at all. So people did have notice that the trustee was going to change her position, and the trust was going to be more strict.

And this is -- it wasn't a sudden thing. This is after a long process of being very lenient and trying to let in as many as possible. But the bottom line is --

THE COURT: These two counsel represent people who are Tubbs fire victims, 2017, and there's a commonality to their clients and some of the ones that we heard two weeks ago, that this isn't a question of people who lost there homes. It's a question -- leaving aside Ms. Gee's clients who have the tree issues -- leaving -- it's the issue of people who didn't realize that they could assert a claim for emotional distress.

Is there any information, any data, on how many claims in the timely bundle of 70-whatever-thousand claims include that kind of claim? In other words, claims that are not based upon fire, homes burning down, or property being destroyed, but on the emotional distress concept?

MS. SIEGER-GRIMM: Yes. There were timely filed claims. I can give you -- file something with the numbers, if you'd like, because I don't know them off the top of my head,

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company but there were emotional distress claims filed, and they were filed by pro se claimants as well as represented claimants. So it wasn't just people who had counsel who --

THE COURT: But maybe I didn't ask the question as carefully as I should have. If I asked you to find out -- put in the record the number of claims that are similar to those that are being asserted on behalf of Mr. Burnett's clients or Ms. Gee's clients that don't involved homes burned or trucks burned or property destroyed by fire, but really are limited to the emotional distress, is there some indication as to the numbers that fall into that category?

MS. SIEGER-GRIMM: I can get you those numbers. I don't know them off the top of my head.

THE COURT: But you can --

MS. SIEGER-GRIMM: It does track every kind of claim that's filed and who filed what, and so that number can be obtained for you.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to make a decision for these claimants on the basis of the current record. I'm not going to sort of turn it into something else. I'm just asking, and what I think you seem to be acknowledging that without having specific numbers -- and I'm not going to make a finding that there are claims that are in that category, emotional distress alone, rather than emotional stress coupled with the loss of injury, physical injury, or property damage to homes or

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company 1 other property. I don't mean to say homes get a special 2 treatment. 3 Okay. Let me make a --4 MS. SIEGER-GRIMM: I do know that there are claims 5 that are emotional distress only or similar, noneconomic 6 damages only because we've discussed it. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MS. SIEGER-GRIMM: I do not know the number. 9 THE COURT: I'm going to ask Mr. Burnett and Ms. Gee 10 if they want to add anything further. I didn't in my 11 Docu/Text, I didn't anticipate kind of a rebuttal type, but 12 we've had a conversation, I raised a couple of questions, so 13 Mr. Burnett, do you want to add anything further? 14 MR. BURNETT: No. I think Your Honor that we've 15 covered it. I would like to make sure that the Court is aware 16 of the reply that we filed and --17 THE COURT: Yes. I'm aware of it. I'm not going to make a ruling in this hearing. So I am aware of it. I'm going 18 19 to look at it again. 20 Ms. Gee, do you want to add anything further? 21 MS. GEE: The only thing I would add is just to 22 emphasize that my claimants, it's not just an emotional 23 distress claim. It is the property damage to the land --24 THE COURT: No, you did. You made that clear in your

25

comment.

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company
MS. GEE: Yes.

THE COURT: Let me say something to the two of you, but I'm also addressing this to trustee's counsel, but others who are following, two weeks ago I heard argument on similar claims. I made a decision a few days ago to withhold a ruling on the prior motion until I heard today because with some exceptions, the arguments are very similar.

I'm not going to just keep taking these matters under advisement. It's my job is to make decisions, whether I make the right one or the wrong one isn't the point. I'm supposed to make them, and I will. And my expectation is, is to issue a ruling soon that will deal with the group that you two counsel are representing here today, but the counsel who made the arguments two weeks ago, and I'm waiting -- I'm putting those two bundles, if you will, of claimants into my decision process.

Even though I know that coming down the road, whether it's later this month or in January, there are going to be more, but I'm not -- this is really heads-up to the trustee, I'm not just going to keeping matters under submission. I'm going to make a ruling, and obviously, whatever my ruling is will impact the persons, whichever side is adversely affected by that ruling can seek whatever remedies.

But regardless of my ruling is, I then have to make it again on the future, but that's -- I'm overstating my point

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company here. Expect a ruling from me fairly soon with the claims that you two counsel speak for, but also those who were on the call a couple weeks ago. So I'm going to stand -- the matter will stand submitted. I appreciate your time and effort, and I'm going to conclude the hearing and wish you and your clients happy holidays, and you'll be hearing from me, okay? MS. SIEGER-GRIMM: Thank you, Your Hoor. MR. BURNETT: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you all for your time. (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 10:37 AM)

CERTIFICATION

I, Cathy L. Kleinbart, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

/s/ CATHY L. KLEINBART

eScribers

7227 N. 16th Street, Suite #207

Cally & Kleinbart

Phoenix, AZ 85020

Date: December 14, 2022

ash 16:24	beyond 20:9	CA 2:5,9,15,19
aside 24:15	big 14:25,25	3:19
asked 10:8 25:5	19:24	calendar 4:25
:22 asking 25:20	billion 19:18,19	8:22
asks 9:5	bit 19:15 20:11	California 1:2,5
assert 24:17	22:24	2:4 4:1
assert 24.17 asserted 25:7	bites 8:4	call 4:3 6:4 13:4
E assume 9:17		28:2
,22 attitude 8:15	boilerplate 21:20	Calling 4:5
audio 20:9	boils 11:25	0
3 Avenue 3:18	BOOKS 1:8	Camp 18:1 capitalize 19:6
awarded 19:18	bottom 24:10	19:25
	Boulevard 2:14	
	2:18	care 16:16,21
3,11 14:17,18 17:3		carefully 25:5
,23 23:7 26:15,17	breaking 20:11	case 1:4 5:22 6:7
6,17 26:18	brief 16:10	6:16 7:10 8:7
18 awareness 14:16	briefing 5:20	10:14 20:25
:8 awkward 9:15	briefs 21:18,18	Casey 2:17 11:7
AZ 3:22 29:21	bring 4:6,13	catch 5:6
4 -7	9:20	category 25:11
./	broad 14:8	25:23
10	Brown 2:11	Cathy 1:13,20
6:11 back 8:1 15:6	11:17	3:20 29:3,13
15:2 16:3,6 20:21	bunch 12:20	cause 9:9 19:21
4:8 22:24	bundle 24:19	cents 20:25 22:1
bankruptcy 1:1	bundles 27:15	certain 17:23,24
2:2 3:18 10:3	Burlingame	certainly 8:25
s 2:3 20:23	2:19	23:12
bar 16:12 17:4	burned 13:24	certify 29:3
10 17:19 22:11	25:8,9	chance 18:14
24:1	Burnett 2:13,14	change 7:20
Barn 2:14	11:5,10,10,19	24:6
8:5 Barnett 21:18	11:22,23,24	changed 19:10
based 6:4 24:20	12:12,16,23	changes 18:20
basis 18:15	13:20 14:8,17	Chapter 1:4
):17 25:19	14:20,24 15:5	20:25
batch 23:22	15:8,10,17,23	choice 6:22
Bay 17:25 18:2	16:3,6 18:9,12	chose 13:8
behalf 4:23 5:13	26:9,13,14	chosen 22:10
5:23 25:7	28:9	claim 1:19,22
2:6 believe 5:18 6:3	Burnett's 23:20	6:6 7:10,11,18
4:24 8:4 12:14	25:7	7:20,23 8:1,2,3
0 14:10,11 15:25	burning 24:21	8:5,11,12,14
7:4 17:13	bushes 16:25	8:20,21,23
7:21 believed 17:17	business 8:10	10:9,11 12:17
Benvenutti 2:4		12:18 16:12
	C	17:11,11,13,14
23 best 12:13	C 29:1,1	20:9,14,15,17
	9 4:23 best 12:13	7

eScribers LLC
Case: 19-30088 Doc# 13357 Filed: 12/14/22 Entered: 12/14/22 11:27:36 Page 30 of 36

				Tage 2
21:13,14 22:3	COMPANY 1:5	25:3 27:3,12	data 24:18	25:9
22:17 23:2	complaint 23:8	27:13 28:2	date 5:24 8:22	detail 16:9,10
24:17,20 25:15	completed 9:9	couple 17:13	17:4,11,19	details 23:10
26:23	completed	26:12 28:3	22:11 24:1,1	determination
claim's 22:10	16:14 19:4	coupled 25:24	29:25	19:15,18 24:2
claim \$ 22:10	complications	course 14:8	dates 16:12	different 12:16
10:2 16:8 23:7	16:22	15:20 20:19	David 2:7,20	difficult 16:11
23:13	compliment	court 1:1 3:17	4:10 10:2	difficulties 9:3
claimants 1:12	15:18	3:18 4:3,4,7,15	Davin 1:19,23	difficulty 16:21
1:15 2:13,17	concept 24:22	4:19,24 5:10	1:23 2:17 11:8	dilemma 15:18
11:8,11 14:21	concern 9:10	6:10,14,20,23	day 13:19	dilution 12:10
15:8 16:9,11	23:5	7:22 8:3,6 9:11	days 27:5	12:10,13 22:3
18:2 19:22	concerned 9:1	9:13,15,24	deadline 12:24	disagrees 10:15
23:5 25:2,2,19	21:15	10:4,11,19,25	13:2,3,4,7,7	discourteous
26:22 27:15	conclude 10:22	11:2,5,9,13,19	15:1 17:16	9:18
claims 1:8,9	28:6	11:23 12:6,15	deal 6:8 22:11	discovery 5:12
8:15 10:13	concluded 28:11	13:3,15,20	27:12	7:12
12:11,25 13:1	conducted 16:18	14:3,15,18,23	deals 21:3	discussed 9:20
13:5,22 14:1	conference 5:4,9	15:4,6,9,11	dealt 8:16,17	10:6 14:9 26:6
15:10,12 17:3	6:17	16:1,3 17:15	22:13,13	dispose 19:4
17:23 18:3,25	confirm 5:25	17:21 18:6,9	Debbie 1:19,23	dispute 5:16
19:16,23 20:6	confirmation	18:13,16 19:7	2:17 11:8	disputes 12:21
20:8,12,15,24	21:10	20:2,16,18	debris 16:24	distress 24:17
21:1,4,4,4 22:1	confusing 14:15	21:7,17 22:9	debtor 12:2,3,4	24:22 25:1,10
22:4,12,19	consent 8:12,19	22:11 23:7,24	12:7 14:10	25:24 26:5,23
23:2,6,11 24:4	consents 10:12	24:11 25:4,14	21:9,13,21,21	DISTRICT 1:2
24:18,19,20,24	consequence	25:18 26:7,9	21:24 22:7	docket 10:23
25:1,6,23 26:4	19:8	26:15,17,24	debtor's 21:11	Docu/Text
27:5 28:1	considered 8:13	27:2 28:10	debtors 1:6 2:4	26:11
clear 7:19 19:14	consistent 9:17	cover 22:17	4:23 21:12	documents 5:11
26:24	CONSOLIDA	covered 23:2	DEBTORS' 1:7	5:14,15 6:4
CLERK 4:4,13	1:11,14	26:15	December 1:6	doing 15:18
9:22 15:25	continues 16:14	COVID 5:6	4:1 29:25	dollar 20:25
client 9:10	convenience	16:22	decide 13:21	22:2
clients 12:9,25	13:6	Craig 2:13,14	decided 15:11	dollars 19:9,19
15:17 24:13,15	conversation	11:10	22:23 24:2	19:19
25:7,8 28:6	26:12	crazy 20:5	decision 13:4	doubt 7:22
close 15:21	conveyed 5:19	creditors 21:1	25:18 27:5,15	doubted 7:25
closure 15:20	cooperatively	crux 11:24	decisions 27:9	draw 13:17,19
combined 23:3	6:18	current 25:19	defense 15:13	22:10
come 4:20 16:3	Corporation 1:5	cut 14:6 17:24	defer 8:24	Duane 2:7 4:10
16:6	1:9 4:6		delay 14:12	due 16:22
comes 22:3	correct 20:10,10	D	Dennis 2:1 4:5	dying 17:2
coming 27:17	21:2,5,6	damage 25:25	deny 12:11 13:9	dynamics 18:20
comment 9:2	Costs 13:23	26:23	15:3	19:10
26:25	counsel 4:6,10	damages 13:25	department 9:4	
commonality	9:16 10:6	17:5 26:6	desire 9:18	E
24:12	18:18 24:11	Darla 1:15 2:13	destroyed 24:21	E 29:1
			•	
	<u> </u>		l	<u> </u>

				Page 3
effect 13:6	18:23 21:9	forced 13:25	5:22,22 6:6,10	holidays 11:1
effort 28:5	23:17	foregoing 29:3	6:19,24 8:9,21	28:7
eight 17:17,18	fairly 28:1	formal 5:11	9:5 10:8,17	home 9:3 17:10
either 8:11	fall 25:11	former 22:22	11:20,21 13:11	homes 24:14,21
16:11 21:5	far 9:1 16:10	forty-five 22:1	13:12 15:12,20	25:8,25 26:1
ELECTRIC 1:5	22:6	forward 6:6	17:6 20:3 21:8	Honestly 22:16
electronic 3:24	fault 14:13	Francisco 1:5	23:9 24:6,7	Honor 4:9,14,22
email 7:13	favor 8:3	2:5,9 3:19 4:1	25:18,20,22	5:7,10,21 7:7
emotional 24:17	feel 10:20	freezing 15:24	26:9,17,18	7:25 9:7,23
24:22 25:1,10	FEMA 20:14	froze 18:9	27:8,18,20,21	10:18,24 11:1
25:23,24 26:5	fifty-five 22:19	full 19:22 20:15	28:4,5	11:7,10,16,22
26:22	file 6:15,17 7:1	fund 22:6	Golden 3:18	12:12 15:25
emphasize	7:19 8:11,13	further 13:13	good 4:9,22 9:25	16:2,8 19:2
26:22	8:20 10:8,16	15:22,23 18:11	11:7,10,16	21:6 22:5,16
entered 9:11	15:16 17:11,12	26:10,13,20	13:9 19:2	26:14 28:9
entitled 6:25	17:14 23:8	future 9:12	grant 13:6	Honorable 2:1
entity 21:23	24:24	27:25	greater 18:22	4:4
equitable 14:8	filed 1:9,13,15	fuzzy 13:21	group 27:12	Hoor 28:8
equity 17:22	1:19,23 5:17		growing 23:4	hope 10:19,20
eScribers 3:21	6:7 10:12 13:1	<u>G</u>	guarantee 19:5	18:13 22:17
29:17	13:18 15:10	gain 19:25	guess 10:21	hopefully 22:2
especially 19:4	18:14 19:23	garden-variety	11:24 15:4	house 16:23
ESQ 2:3,7,10,13	21:19,19 22:19	20:23	19:21 20:1,2	17:9
2:17	23:2,3 24:23	GAS 1:5	guessing 19:23	houses 13:24
essentially 15:13	25:1,2,16,16	Gate 3:18 Gee 2:17 11:5,7	Н	hundred 18:22
18:1,13 21:13	26:16	·	half 13:7	20:25
et 2:14	filing 1:12,15,18	11:7,19,22 16:1,2,5,8	hand 4:19 9:20	hundreds 22:12
evacuate 13:25	1:22 6:10 8:19	17:17,22 18:8	9:21,22 10:7	22:12,12
evidence 6:5	14:25 24:4	18:11 21:19	happened 5:6,12	T
exceptions 27:7	finally 5:6	26:9,20,21	17:25	idea 8:14
exchange 7:12	find 25:5	27:1	happy 11:1 28:6	illness 10:20
exchanging 5:11	finding 16:22	Gee's 24:15 25:8	hazy 5:6	impact 12:9
exclude 6:23	25:22	generally 8:14	head 24:25	27:22
exclusively 6:8 excusable 11:25	fire 1:11,17 2:10	10:13	25:13	impacted 13:24
12:1	13:8 16:13,17 17:25 18:1	generator 17:10	heads-up 27:19	impacted 13:24
exists 13:23	17:23 18:1	getting 12:25	hear 16:1,2	include 24:19
expect 22:19	23:16 24:12,14	16:12 20:22	18:16	including 19:22
28:1	25:9	give 19:12 24:24	heard 18:11	increase 18:20
expectation	first 7:10,17 8:1	given 8:7 9:17	23:9 24:13	19:6
27:11	11:20,21,22	14:8 15:15	27:4,6	indicated 5:10
expected 4:11	19:3 20:12	19:4,20,23	hearing 6:1 7:17	9:2
extend 5:20 7:2	22:22	go 11:20,21,22	8:1,17,24	indication 25:10
extent 21:14	five 16:18,19	14:4,5,23	18:18 26:18	indiscernible
	five-billion-do	15:22 16:5	28:6,7	7:12 20:4 22:8
F	12:14	20:4,21 22:6	hearings 8:8	23:2
F 29:1	following 5:21	goes 16:12 19:9	help 8:9	individual 2:21
fact 13:3 16:15	27:4	going 4:15,17	herring 12:7	19:3
	1	1	<u> </u>	<u>I</u>

informal 7:11	10:4 14:12	22:10 24:10	12:15 21:25	nineteen 11:12
information	17:11 19:9	litigated 8:2	minutes 16:6	11:13 15:17
24:18	23:21 24:25	little 5:5 8:15	missing 7:3	ninety 19:16
injury 25:25,25	25:13 26:4,8	20:11 22:24	mitigate 17:4	NINTH 1:8
inquiry 12:3	27:17	LLC 3:21	money 20:7 22:7	nod 4:11
instance 20:14	knowing 16:11	LLP 2:4,7,11	22:8	noneconomic
instructions		long 7:9 24:9	Montali 2:1 4:5	26:5
11:20	L	longer 17:12	month 4:25 15:6	North 17:25
intention 6:5	L 3:20 29:3,13	look 19:24 20:2	27:18	18:2
interference	lack 11:25 12:1	21:21 26:19	months 17:13	NORTHERN
20:9	14:13,15,16,18	looking 12:13	morning 4:9,22	1:2
interim 5:23	land 26:23	looks 17:6	9:25 11:7,10	notice 14:13,16
interrupted	largely 5:12	LORENA 3:17	11:16 19:2	14:19,20 16:12
6:13	late 1:12,15,18	losing 23:6	Morris 2:7 4:10	24:5,5
investigation	1:22 8:22	loss 25:25	motion 1:12,13	notices 19:16,18
9:9	12:17,18,20,21	lost 24:14	1:14,18,20,22	number 11:12
invoking 22:15	13:1,5,8 15:8	lot 18:1 22:2	4:25 5:8,17,20	13:9,10 25:6
involved 25:8	15:12 17:23	24:4	6:1,18 7:1,1,9	25:16 26:8
issue 10:15	18:2,3 19:1,22	<u></u>	7:18,21 8:13	numbers 24:24
23:19 24:16	20:24 21:1,4,4	M	8:20 10:17	25:11,12,22
27:11	22:3,12,19	M 2:7	11:25 15:3	NY 2:12
issued 19:15	23:1,11	making 23:8	27:6	111 2.12
issues 16:13,14	Law 2:14,17	Market 2:8	motions 15:1	0
17:8 24:16	learned 17:14	materially 7:20	23:2	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$ 29:1
item 7:3 9:4,7	leave 8:21,22	matter 4:5 8:22	movants 11:11	O'Connor 1:16
item 7.3 9.4,7	10:17	10:21,22 28:4	12:1,2 13:22	2:14
J	leaving 5:22	matters 10:5,23	14:13	object 22:14
January 8:22	24:15,16	18:19 27:8,20	movants' 15:3	OBJECTION
27:18	left 16:17,17	mean 6:11,23,25	move 6:11	1:8,11,18
job 27:9	20:8	7:22 12:6,19		obtained 25:17
joining 9:23	legitimate 23:12	13:13,16 14:6	moving 11:11	obviously 27:21
Judge 2:2 10:7	length 14:12	19:9,24 20:22	N	October 15:15
judgment 5:18	lenient 24:9	20:24 23:9,14	N 3:21 29:1,19	15:16
6:2 7:18,21	lesser 18:21	23:23 26:1	naïve 20:3	Office 2:14
8:24	let's 12:16 18:16	media 14:21	nearly 20:20	okay 4:7,11,19
0.27	letting 13:5	24:4	necess 6:15	4:24 6:20 9:13
K	23:11	medical 16:10	necessarily 12:4	9:24 10:11,19
keep 17:5 27:8	liberal 8:14,14	16:13,14,15,21	14:12	11:5,13,23
keeping 27:20	life 17:8	16:23	necessary 16:18	14:4,23,23
Keller 2:4 4:23	likelihood 18:21	medically 16:18	16:19	15:14,22 16:3
kicked 12:18,25	18:22	16:19	need 8:19,20	18:9,16 20:18
Kim 2:4 4:23	limitation 22:14	memory 5:5	10:16	21:7,7 22:9
kind 12:7 22:18	limitation 22.14	20:21	needs 4:20 8:5	26:3,7 28:7
22:20,23 24:20	15:13	mentioned	8:11,13 16:6	Oliner 2:7 4:7,9
25:15 26:11	limited 22:6	16:25	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
kindly 10:24	25:9	mic 9:25	neglect 11:25 12:1	4:9,16,17,24
Kleinbart 3:20				5:2 6:13,15,21
29:3,13	limits 13:12	minimal 20:7	negotiation 7:2	7:8,14 8:9 9:16
know 4:17 5:14	line 13:17,19,21	miniscule 12:13	new 2:12 6:5	10:9,10,19,24
KHUW 7.1 / J.17				

				- rage s
OMNIBUS 1:8	people 8:15	14:10	20:7,18 21:15	RELATED 1:13
once 5:5,5 6:16	12:20 15:16	present 2:3,20	23:14 24:14,15	1:20
ones 13:11 24:13	21:19 22:25	preserved 19:11	25:4	relevant 5:21
oOo-1:3 4:2	24:4,5,11,14	presided 20:20	questionnaires	12:3
open 7:8	24:16 25:3	presiding 4:5	19:17	relief 9:11
opinion 7:6	percent 18:22	prior 8:17 9:11	questions 18:5,6	remaining 16:18
opportunity 5:3	19:16	18:18 27:6	26:12	remedies 27:23
oppose 7:1	permitted 10:16	pro 6:8 25:2	quite 13:13	remember 13:3
opposed 12:17	person 23:10	procedure 16:21		20:19
order 4:3 8:2	persons 27:22	procedures	R	remembering
9:11 21:10	pertains 5:15	16:19,20	R 29:1	20:10
outpatient 16:20	pertinent 9:19	proceeded 14:5	raise 4:19 9:20	remove 16:24
outstanding	PG&E 1:5,9 4:5	proceedings 2:1	9:22	17:1
7:15	5:13 6:5 7:8,16	3:24 28:11	raised 10:7	reorganized 1:6
overstating	10:22	29:4	26:12	1:7 2:3 4:23
27:25	PG&E's 5:15,20	process 19:4	read 5:18 18:14	replace 6:24,25
owed 7:16	5:25	24:9 27:16	20:3 21:17,18	replacing 10:9
	Phoenix 3:22	produced 6:5	reality 23:17	reply 16:9,12
P	29:21	7:13	realize 18:23	18:14 26:16
P 2:7,20	physical 25:25	productions	24:17	represent 11:17
P.C 2:17	picked 22:21,24	7:16	realized 22:25	24:11
pace 19:23	pit 23:16	progress 5:12	really 11:25	representation
PACIFIC 1:5	pitch 8:5	PROOF 1:19,22	12:2 25:9	9:17
paid 19:19 20:6	place 21:24	property 5:16	27:19	representative
20:9,14,15,15	plan 21:3 22:8	17:1,5 24:21	reason 4:20 5:21	21:12
20:17 21:2	played 8:7 10:14	25:9,25 26:1	6:24 7:9 13:17	represented
22:1,2 23:6	please 4:7 11:6	26:23	15:3 17:3 19:8	5:14 25:2
paper 20:4	11:15	protected 21:22	reasons 16:10	representing
papers 6:8 12:8	pleasure 5:1	provided 3:24	rebuild 17:9	15:17 27:13
24:3	point 8:4 13:12	5:13	rebuttal 26:11	represents 12:5
Parada 4:12	15:6 17:24,24	providers 16:15	recognizing 5:8	requested 4:24
9:21 15:24	18:4 19:10,10	16:22	recollection	5:5
PARADA/AN	20:5,6,22 21:8	provides 21:1	20:8	require 20:14
3:17	27:10,25	providing 16:10	record 5:7,20,24	required 6:19
Paradise 16:15	police 9:4	provisions 20:13	7:14 9:16	respect 21:11,12
paragraph	position 12:8	public 18:24	18:24 25:6,19	21:13
21:10	14:4 22:15	publicized 24:3	29:4	respond 10:1
part 7:16 22:13	24:6	pursuant 7:12	recorded 3:24	response 7:5
participate 4:15	possible 24:10	20:16	Recorder 3:17	responsibility
4:18	potential 23:6	put 5:4,7,19 6:1	recording 3:24	7:1
parties 7:14	power 14:9	17:9 24:3 25:5	RECORDS 1:8	returning 16:23
10:13	17:10	putting 7:9	recover 10:20	right 6:14 7:23
party 11:11 21:9	predict 18:23	27:14	recovery 22:4	7:25 9:24,24
21:15	prejudice 12:1,2		red 12:7	10:19,25 13:2
pay 19:22	12:3,4,4,7	Q 7.22	refresh 20:21	17:21 19:11,24
payout 18:22	13:23 14:10,11	question 7:22	regarding 7:13	20:9 21:25
pending 4:25	21:16,21,25,25	10:1 12:16	regardless 27:24	22:4,5,9,15
5:8	prejudiced	18:17,25 19:1	relate 9:10	27:10
	prejudiced			27.10

road 7:9 27:17	settlements	Square 2:11	surgical 16:20	21:4 22:1,4
Rosa 2:15	20:13	stand 8:5,25	surplus 20:23	24:19,23
Round 2:14	Seven 2:11	28:4,4	Susan 2:10	times 2:11 5:4
Rudnick 2:11	SEVENTY-1:7	standard 12:16	11:17	timing 11:21
11:17	share 19:14	state 4:8,21 9:25		TLO 2:17
rule 12:21	shareholders	11:6,14	T	today 5:3,7 6:9
rules 13:11,14	21:22	States 1:1 2:2	T 29:1,1	7:4 9:8,14
13:15 23:19	shoes 21:11	3:18	take 5:22 10:23	12:19 27:6,13
ruling 8:17	showing 17:2	statistics 19:13	14:5 16:5	tomorrow 13:1
26:18 27:5,12	side 27:22	status 5:3,9 6:17	taken 17:7	20:3
27:21,21,23,24	Sieger-Grimm	statute 15:13	tapering 22:18	top 24:25 25:13
28:1	2:10 11:14,16	21:1 22:14,15	teed 8:16	total 5:15
run 22:6	11:17 19:2,12	stay 4:24	telephone 2:3	Tower 2:8
run=of- 20:24	20:11 21:6,8	stepped 21:11	tell 10:4,5	track 25:15
Rupp 2:3 4:7,21	22:5,16 23:23	stipulating	ten 16:5	Transcriber
4:22,22 5:3,5	23:25 24:23	22:23	terms 17:22	3:20
5:13,17,19 6:7	25:12,15 26:4	stipulation 8:23	18:20	transcript 2:1
7:2,5,7,25 9:2	26:8 28:8	8:24	thank 5:2,2	3:24 29:3
9:7,14 10:12	sign 6:12	stock 18:20,24	10:24 11:1,2,4	transcription
11:1	signs 17:2	19:4,9 20:4	11:24 16:8	3:24
Rupp's 8:19	similar 25:6	stop 13:4 22:23	18:6,8,12 28:8	transpired 5:24
	26:5 27:4,7	stopping 23:4	28:9,10	traveled 7:10
S	single 12:17,18	Street 2:4 3:21	the-mill 20:25	treatment 16:2
s/29:13	sir 11:4	29:19	theoretical 22:3	26:2
San 1:5 2:5,9	situation 21:2	stress 25:24	thing 23:14,24	tree 24:15
3:19 4:1	slight 12:10	strict 24:7	24:8 26:21	trees 16:25 17:
sand 22:10	14:11	struggling 15:22	things 5:7 19:14	tress 17:5
Santa 2:15	slowed 22:23	submission	22:23	trucks 25:8
save 17:1	slowed 22.23 slowing 23:4	27:20	think 12:6 13:20	true 29:4
saying 23:10	social 14:21 24:3	submit 12:2,12	13:23 14:9,15	trust 2:10 12:4
24:5	solvent 21:22		15:2 17:15,16	
screen 18:9		18:5,15 submitted 18:19	18:12 20:3	12:14,24 13:8
scrutiny 18:3	somebody 9:5		25:21 26:14	14:11,22 15:3
se 6:9 25:2	soon 27:12 28:1	19:17 28:5	thinks 10:16	17:23 19:3,13
second 7:11	soot 16:24	substitution	Thomas 2:3	19:15,17,18,2
Section 21:9	sorry 6:13 8:8	6:11,12,17	3:17 4:22	19:22 21:3,16
see 9:21,24	10:20	sudden 22:24	thought 17:12	21:23,23 23:5
seeing 10:21	sort 12:23 13:13	24:8	17:19	24:7
seek 27:23	21:24 25:20	suffer 13:25	three 5:4 16:16	trustee 11:18
	sound 3:24 6:16	Suite 2:5,15,18		12:17 13:4,10
seeking 9:11	speak 6:24 7:7,8	3:22 29:19	20:19	13:11,14 14:1
send 10:11	9:16 28:2	sum 5:15	time 5:9 7:2	14:25 15:9,11
September	Spear 2:8	summary 5:18	13:12 14:5,5	15:14,19 18:1
15:12 17:14	special 26:1	6:1 7:18,21	16:11 17:11,12	18:17 19:14
23:21	specialist 16:18	8:24	18:18 28:5,10	21:10,13,24,2
serve 6:8	specialists 16:16	suppose 15:5	timely 1:12,15	22:10,13,17,2
service 3:24	specific 7:13	supposed 27:10	1:18,22 7:2	23:15 24:2,6
session 4:4 settled 20:16	25:22	sure 17:18 20:12	12:11 18:25	27:19
	specifically 8:17	23:15 26:15	20:6,8,12 21:1	trustee's 1:11,1

eScribers LLC
Case: 19-30088 Doc# 13357 Filed: 12/14/22 Entered: 12/14/22 11:27:36 Page 35 of 36

				Page /
27:3	waiting 27:14	world 20:5	2017 24:12	95403 2:15
try 12:16 17:1	waiting 27.14 waive 13:12	23:15	2017 24:12 2019 13:8	973)406-2250
trying 16:24	15:12,13	writing 5:25	2019 13.8 2020 22:19 23:3	3:23
17:4,8,9 24:9	waiver 22:14		2020 22:19 23:3 2021 22:20 23:3	3.23
		wrong 21:3		
Tubbs 24:12	want 4:21 9:19	27:10	2022 1:6 4:1	
Tuesday 1:6 4:1	10:5 11:14	X	22:20 29:25	
turn 9:25 25:20	14:6 15:20		207 3:22 29:19	
twenty 19:9	18:11 20:12	Y	212)209-4800	
two 5:4 10:6	23:15,16 26:10	YANNI 1:13,20	2:12	
13:7 16:9,16	26:13,20	yeah 6:15 22:7	2200 2:8	
24:11,13 27:2	wanted 5:7,19	year 17:25 18:1	3	
27:4,12,14,15	5:23 7:7	18:3 22:20		
28:2	wants 16:6	years 13:7 17:17	30th 15:12 23:21	
type 26:11	17:23	17:18,19 20:20	3558 2:14	
U	wasn't 13:10	· ·	4	
	14:21 24:8	yesterday 9:3		
understand	25:3	19:15	415)636-9015	
10:18 12:15	wave 13:18	York 2:12	2:6	
14:3,3 19:7	way 8:7,25	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	415)957-3000	
20:2 21:17	10:14 22:21,22		2:9	
understandably	23:25	0	450 3:18	
17:23	we'll 6:13,15		5	
unexpected	10:23 16:3,6	1	5.63 19:19	
22:21	18:15	12:8	3.03 19:19	
unfortunately	we're 12:13	10:00 1:6 4:1	6	
17:6	19:23 20:3	10:37 28:11	6.8(b) 21:9	
United 1:1 2:2	22:7	10036 2:12	650 2:4	
3:18	we've 7:10 9:19	10673 1:9	650)513-6111	
unsurprisingly	26:6,12,14	10th 8:1	2:19	
5:17	website 19:13	11 1:4	2.19	
upsurge 14:25	week 5:6,18 9:9	13 1:6 4:1	7	
utility 21:22	15:21,21 23:1	13161 1:13,16	7 20:25	
	weekend 18:15	13249 1:20,24	70-whatever-t	
V	weeks 24:13	13254 1:13	24:19	
valid 12:25	27:4,14 28:3	13281 1:20	700 2:18 5:14	
13:22	went 16:9 18:10	14 29:25	707)523-3328	
values 19:11	weren't 14:1	14.04 19:18	2:16	
versus 12:25	17:3	150 16:25	7227 3:21 29:19	
21:4	whichever 27:22	150 10.25 15th 15:15	1221 3.21 27.17	
Victim 1:11,17	widely 24:3	160 2:18	8	
2:10 19:13	willingness 5:20	16th 3:21 15:16	85020 3:22	
21:12,14	6:1 8:15	29:19	29:21	
victims 13:8	wish 10:1 28:6			
23:16 24:12	wishes 8:11	18(e)(3) 21:10	9	
video 2:3	withdraw 6:11	19-30088 1:4	94010 2:19	
voluntarily 5:11	withhold 27:5	1900 2:5	94102 3:19	
	words 13:5,16	2	94105 2:9	
W	15:16 24:20	200 2:15	94108 2:5	
wait 14:15 23:11	13.10 24.20	4 00 4.13	7 1100 2.0	
			l	l

eScribers LLC
Case: 19-30088 Doc# 13357 Filed: 12/14/22 Entered: 12/14/22 11:27:36 Page 36 of 36